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# REACTIVITY OF MERCURY(II) PERCHLORATE TOWARDS 5,5 -DIMETHYLIMIDAZOLIDINE-2-THIONE-4-ONE. STRUCTURE OF BIS(5,5-DIMETHYLIMIDAZOLIDINE-2-THIONE-4-ONE)MERCURY(II) PERCHLORATE TRIAQUO 
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(Received May 25, 1989; in final form September 19, 1989)

The reaction between $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and 5,5-Dimethylimidazolidine-2-thione-4-one ( L ) has been investigated in water, diethylether and perchloric acid solutions. In water, three different complexes, $\mathrm{HgL}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{nH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{HgL}^{\prime} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{HgL}_{2}^{\prime}$ ( $\mathrm{L}^{\prime}=$ deprotonated L ) have been obtained; in diethylether $\mathrm{HgL}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{n}=1,3-5)$ was prepared, whereas in perchloric acid solutions, besides the $\mathrm{HgL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ complex, a mercury(I) complex of formula $\mathrm{HgL}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ was obtained at high acid concentration. The mercury(I) complex can also be obtained at a lower acid concentration using a $\mathrm{L}: \mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ molar ratio higher than $2: 1$. The crystal structure of bis( 5,5 -dimethylimidazolidine-2-thione-4-one) mercury(II) perchlorate triaquo shows the existence of the $\left[\mathrm{HgL}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ cation, in which the coordination around the mercury atom is almost linear.
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## INTRODUCTION

5,5-Dimethylimidazolidine-2-thione-4-one can act as neutral (L) $)^{1-3}$ or anionic $\left(\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right)^{1}$ ligand, as shown below.


L

$L^{\prime}$

Since $L^{\prime}$ can exist in two desmotropic forms and no structural data are available for their complexes, it has been hypothesized that $L^{\prime}$ is the isomer which has lost the hydrogen bonded to $\mathrm{N}-3$ on the basis of the higher acidity of this hydrogen. ${ }^{4}$

L reacts with mercury(II) halides under various conditions ${ }^{1}$ to give the series of complexes $\mathrm{HgLXY}, \mathrm{HgL}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{HgL}^{\prime}{ }_{2}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{L})$, where L is S -bonded to the metal and $\mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ coordinates the mercury(II) with both S and $\mathrm{N}-3$. The interconversion between HgLXY and $\mathrm{HgL}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}$

$$
\mathrm{HgLXY} \longrightarrow \mathrm{HgL}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{HY}
$$

occurs with an $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ type mechanism in water and $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ in ethanol/ $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$solution. However, in the latter case, $\mathrm{HgL}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}$ is an intermediate product while the final one is always $\mathrm{HgL}_{2}$ (except for $\mathrm{HgL}^{\prime} \mathrm{Cl}$ which does not react). The reverse reaction

$$
\mathrm{HgL}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{HY} \longrightarrow \mathrm{HgLXY}
$$

carried out in ethanol, seems to occur first with protonation of the nitrogen bonded to $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$ followed by an attack of $\mathrm{Y}^{-}$on $\mathrm{HgLX}^{+}$.

Pursuant to our interest in the interaction between L and $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$, we have undertaken a further investigation using $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ as the starting salt.

## EXPERIMENTAL

## Materials

5,5-Dimethylimidazolidine-2-thione-4-one ( L ) was prepared according to the literature. ${ }^{5} \mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ was obtained as a hexahydrate from HgO and $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$.

## Reactions

In water, $\mathrm{HgL}^{\prime}$ is obtained by reacting $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and L in the $1: 2$ molar ratio. Its identity was verified both by i.r. comparison with an authentic sample and by elemental analysis. Calcd. for $\mathrm{HgC}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, 24.7; H, 2.9; N, 11.5\%. Found: C, 24.6; H, 3.0; N, 11.4\%.

For a $1: 1$ molar ratio, $L$ dissolved in the least amount of water is added to a solution of $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$. No precipitation is observed and the hygroscopic compound $\mathrm{HgL}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{nH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is obtained by carefully evaporating the solvent. WARNING: all attempts at drying this compound produced an explosion. No analysis is reported for this compound since every analysed sample showed a variable amount of water. However, if the above solution is left at room temperature for a few days, $\mathrm{HgL}^{\prime} \mathrm{ClO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is formed. Calcd. for $\mathrm{HgC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 12.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.3 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.8 \%$. Found: C, $12.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.8 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.7 \%$. Its imidazolidinato form is confirmed by i.r. comparison with $\mathrm{HgL}_{2}$.

The reactions in diethyl ether are carried out by dissolving $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and L separately in the least amount of solvent; L is then added to the perchlorate dropwise in several molar ratios (see Table I). The complexes precipitate immediately or after some time by evaporation of the solvent. The complex obtained for the $1: 1$ molar ratio shows the same properties as that prepared from water.

TABLE I
Complexes obtained by reacting 5,5-dimethylimidazolidine-2-thione-4-one ( L ) and $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ at various $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} / \mathrm{L}$ molar ratios in diethyl ether.

| $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} / \mathrm{L}$ | Complex | Found (Calcd.) $\%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | C | H | N |
| $1: 1$ | ${\mathrm{HgL}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{*}} \quad 9.4(9.5)$ | $3.1(2.9)$ | $4.3(4.4)$ |  |
| $1: 2$ | $\mathrm{HgL}_{3}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | $22.3(21.7)$ | $3.3(2.9)$ | $10.5(10.1)$ |
| $1: 3$ | $\mathrm{HgL}_{3}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | $21.1(21.7)$ | $2.9(2.9)$ | $9.8(10.1)$ |
| $1: 4$ | $\mathrm{HgL}_{4}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | $25.0(24.6)$ | $3.4(3.3)$ | $11.8(11.5)$ |
| $1:(5-10)$ | $\mathrm{HgLL}_{5}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}{ }^{* *}$ | $26.1(26.8)$ | $3.2(3.6)$ | $12.6(12.5)$ |

* Since this compound is highly hygroscopic (see Experimental), the elemental analysis is given for one example. However, many others give CHN values for the number of water molecules ranging from 5-10.
** This complex was obtained for all molar ratios from $1 / 5$ to $1 / 10$.

TABLE II
(a) Complexes obtained by reacting $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and 2 L in $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$-aqueous solutions.

| $\% \mathrm{HClO}_{4}{ }^{*}$ | Notes | Complex |  |  | Found (Calcd.) \% |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | C | H | N |  |  |
| $5 ; 10$ | crystals +Hg | $\mathrm{HgL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $15.9 ; 16.0$ | $2.7 ; 3.2$ | $7.4 ; 7.5$ |  |  |
|  | after a month |  | $(16.2)$ | $(3.3)$ | $(7.5)$ |  |  |
| $15 ; 20$ | crystals after | $\mathrm{HgLL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $16.0 ; 16.2$ | $2.9 ; 3.1$ | $7.4 ; 7.4$ |  |  |
|  | a day |  | $(16.2)$ | $(3.3)$ | $(7.5)$ |  |  |
| 25 | crystals after | $\mathrm{HgL}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}_{4} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 18.5 | 2.9 | 8.6 |  |  |
|  | a day |  | $(18.7)$ | $(3.4)$ | $(8.7)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(b) Complexes obtained by reacting $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and $n \mathrm{~L}$ in $10 \% \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$.

| n | Notes | Complex | Found (Calcd.) \% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | C | H | N |
| 1 | obtained after a day | $\mathrm{HgL}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 8.6(8.7) | 3.6(3.5) | 4.0(4.1) |
| 2 | crystals +Hg <br> after a month | $\mathrm{HgL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 15.9(16.2) | 2.7(3.3) | 7.4(7.5) |
| 3-5 | Precipitated immediately | $\mathrm{HgL}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}_{4} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 18.5(18.7) | 3.4(3.4) | 8.7(8.7) |

[^0]The experiments in aqueous $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ are performed by dissolving 1.78 g of $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 30 g of $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ at various concentrations $(5,10,15$, 20 and $25 \%$ ) and adding the proper amount of $L$. The results obtained by reacting
$\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and L at the $1: 2$ molar ratio in variable concentrations of $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ are summarized in Table IIa. Table IIb reports the complexes obained by reacting $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and $\mathrm{nL}(\mathrm{n}=1-5)$ in $10 \% \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$. The crystal used for the structural analysis is obtained from one of these solutions.

## $X$-ray data collection and structure determination.

Crystal data and other experimental details are summarized in Table III. The diffraction experiment was carried out on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer at room temperature, using Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ). The calculations were performed on a PDP11/34 computer using the SDP-Plus Structure Determination Package. ${ }^{6}$ The diffracted intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and absorption (empirical correction) ${ }^{7}$ but not for extinction. Anomalous dispersion corrections for atomic scattering factors of non-hydrogen atoms were taken from ref.8. The structure was solved by conventional Patterson and Fourier methods and refined by full matrix least-squares methods, minimizing the function $\Sigma w\left(F_{o}-k\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$. Weights assigned to individual observations were $w=1 /\left[\sigma\left(F_{o}\right)\right]^{2}$, where $\sigma\left(F_{o}\right)=\left[\sigma^{2}(I)+(0.04 I)^{2}\right]^{12} / 2 F_{o} L \rho$. Anisotropic thermal factors were refined for all non-hydrogen atoms. All hydrogen atoms, with the exception of those of water molecules not detected in a Fourier difference, were introduced into the model at calculated positions without refining. The final Fourier synthesis showed no residuals greater than $0.7 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$.

TABLE III
Crystal data and intensity collection parameters.

| Compound | $\left[\mathrm{HgL}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right]_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{HgN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{13} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ |
| F.w. | 741.93 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space Group | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | 7.505(3) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 24.372(6) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 13.110(6) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 99.33(3) |
| $U\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 2366.3(28) |
| Z | 4 |
| $D_{\text {calc }}\left(\mathrm{gcm}^{-3}\right)$ | 2.082 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha\right.$ ) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 69.64 |
| Min. transmission factor | 0.88 |
| Crystal dimensions (mm) | $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ |
| Scan mode | $\omega$ |
| $\omega$-scan width ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $1.1+0.35 \tan \theta$ |
| $\theta$-range ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 3-25 |
| Octants of reciprocal space explored | $\pm h,+k,+l$ |
| Measured reflections | 4076 |
| Unique observed reflections with $I>3 \sigma(l)$ | 2989 |
| Final $R$ and $R_{w}$ indices ${ }^{2}$ | 0.029, 0.038 |
| No. of variables | 289 |
| ESD ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.396 |

${ }^{2} R=[\Sigma(F o-k|F c|) / \Sigma F o] ; R_{w}=\left[\Sigma w(F o-k|F c|)^{2} / \Sigma w F o^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ ESD $=\left[\Sigma w(F o-k|F c|)^{2} /\left(N_{\text {oberrazions }} \cdot N_{\text {anaible }}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$.

## Infrared Measurements

The i.r. spectra were recorded in KBr discs ( $3500-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and in Nujol mulls ( $450-200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) using a Perkin-Elmer 983 instrument connected to a Perkin-Elmer 7500 Data Station.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Reactivity

In water, three different complexes, $\mathrm{HgL}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{nH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{HgL}^{\prime} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{HgL}_{2}$ are obtained. The formation of the inner complexes $\mathrm{HgL}^{\prime} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{HgL}^{4}\left(\mathrm{HgL}^{\prime} \mathrm{LClO}_{4}\right.$ is an hypothesized complex), can be explained in terms of interaction between the coordinated ligand and the solvent, which acts as a base expelling the more acid proton at $\mathrm{N}-3$ from L .

The reaction between L and $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ was also carried out in diethyl ether for several $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} / \mathrm{L}$ molar ratios. The results reported in Table I show that the ligand binds to mercury as L , the $1: 1$ molar ratio yields the hygroscopic complex $\mathrm{HgL}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the $1: 2$ molar ratio does not give $\mathrm{HgL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$, but $\mathrm{HgL}_{3}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$, and molar ratios lower than $1: 5$ always produce $\mathrm{HgL}_{5}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} .{ }^{9-10}$ As expected, reactivity in a solvent with a lower polarity than water favours the isolation of imidazolidine rather than imidazolidinato compounds. It is worth pointing out that $\mathrm{HgL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ has never been obtained in this solvent despite innumerable attempts.

On the contrary, this complex is easily obtained in perchloric acid solutions as shown in Table IIa, where the results of the reaction between the Hg (II) perchlorate and L in a $1: 2$ molar ratio are reported. From Table IIa it appears that all the complexes contain the ligand as L , after $c a$ a month in 5 and $10 \% \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ the presence of metallic mercury and crystals of $\mathrm{HgL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the reaction mixture indicates a dismutation of a complex of $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{I})$ and that the highest concentration of $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ stabilizes the mercury (I) complex.

Both complexes of mercury(I) and $\mathrm{HgL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ were unexpected. It seems to be interesting to ascertain the reduction mechanism of mercury(II), which occurs at a very high acid concentration. On the other hand, the $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{I})$ complex must also exist at low acid concentrations, in consequence of the formation of metallic mercury. Unfortunately, all attempts at obtaining crystals of $\mathrm{HgL}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ suitable for X-ray analysis were unsuccessful, since the crystals were always fibrous polycrystalline aggregates. Strangely, intermediate acidity values stabilize $\mathrm{HgL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and no traces of dismutation were found.

To improve our knowledge of the system, we have carried out a series of reactions keeping both the acidity of the medium $\left(\mathrm{HClO}_{4}=10 \%\right)$ and the concentration of $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ constant, but varying the amount of L . The results are reported in Table IIb, where it can be seen that while there is no reduction for $\mathrm{n}=1$, the known hygroscopic complex $\mathrm{HgL}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is obtained. For $\mathrm{n}=3-5$, the mercury(I) complex precipitates immediately. Hence the reduction of mercury(II) depends on the amount of L . All of these syntheses are summarized in the following scheme.


SCHEME
Reactivity of $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and 5,5-dimethylimidazolidine-2-thione-4-one $(\mathrm{L})$ in water, in water/ $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ and diethylether. $\mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ is the $\mathrm{N}-3$ deprotonated ligand.


FIGURE 1 An ORTEP drawing of the $\left[\mathrm{HgL}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ cation. The thermal ellipsoids enclose $30 \%$ of the electron density. The hydrogens of the methyl groups are omitted for clarity.

TABLE IV
Fractional coordinates for non-hydrogen atoms (e.s.d.'s in parentheses).

| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | z/c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hg | -0.14877(3) | 0.15254(1) | 0.13654(2) |
| S(61) | -0.2087(2) | 0.06173(7) | 0.0790(1) |
| O(71) | 0.1323(8) | -0.1040(2) | 0.2041 (4) |
| N(11) | 0.1084(6) | 0.0383(2) | 0.2065(4) |
| N(31) | -0.0457(8) | -0.0320(2) | 0.1392(4) |
| C(2I) | -0.0370(8) | 0.0239(3) | 0.1469(5) |
| C(41) | $0.104(1)$ | -0.0556(3) | 0.1965(5) |
| C(51) | $0.2204(9)$ | -0.0088(3) | 0.2464(5) |
| C(81) | 0.241(1) | -0.0117(4) | 0.3627(6) |
| C(91) | 0.400(1) | -0.0080(3) | 0.2067(6) |
| S(62) | -0.1124(2) | 0.24030(8) | $0.2126(1)$ |
| O(72) | 0.2364(6) | 0.3050(2) | -0.0588(3) |
| N(12) | 0.1470(8) | 0.3110(2) | 0.1925(4) |
| N(32) | 0.0642(7) | 0.2649(2) | $0.0505(4)$ |
| C(22) | 0.0398(8) | 0.2724(3) | $0.1488(5)$ |
| C(42) | 0.1906(8) | 0.3012(3) | 0.0253(5) |
| C(52) | 0.2575(9) | 0.3344(3) | 0.1216 (5) |
| C(82) | 0.458(1) | 0.3225 (4) | $0.1570(7)$ |
| C(92) | 0.221(1) | $0.3961(4)$ | 0.1021(7) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 0.3378(2) | 0.13931 (6) | -0.0168(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 0.4543(7) | 0.1646(2) | 0.0665(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 0.2467(9) | 0.1787(3) | -0.0836(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 0.209(1) | 0.1081(4) | 0.0218(5) |
| O (14) | $0.430(1)$ | 0.1060(3) | -0.0749(6) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 0.2568(3) | -0.10865(9) | 0.6143(1) |
| O(21) | 0.3598(9) | -0.1374(3) | 0.7013(5) |
| O(22) | 0.231 (1) | -0.1413(4) | $0.5245(6)$ |
| O(23) | 0.086(1) | -0.1015(5) | 0.6420(6) |
| O(24) | 0.339(2) | -0.0653(4) | 0.5978(8) |
| OW(1) | -0.7686(6) | 0.3567(2) | -0.2522(4) |
| OW(2) | -0.1726(6) | 0.1904(2) | -0.0583(3) |
| OW(3) | 0.5497(8) | 0.2458(3) | 0.3707(5) |

## Structure of bis(5,5-dimethylimidazolidine-2-thione-4-one) mercury(II) perch:lorate triaquo

The fractional coordinates for non-hydrogen atoms with their e.s.d.'s in parentheses are reported in Table IV. Selected distances and angles are listed in Table V. An ORTEP drawing of the $\left[\mathrm{HgL}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ cation is shown in Figure 1. The coordination about the mercury atom is almost linear with deviation from the ideal value of $180^{\circ}$ due to packing interactions. Beside the two $\mathrm{Hg}=\mathrm{S}$ bonds, the metal atom interacts weakly with two water molecules and with two oxygen atoms of the perchlorate anions.

As already observed in $\mathrm{HgLX}_{2}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br})$ complexes, ${ }^{2}$ the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ bond of the ligand undergoes a reduction of double bond character upon coordination to the metal. The largest variations in the geometry of the ligand regard the $C(21)$ and $C(22)$ atoms and their neighbours, the remaining part of the molecule being essentially

TABLE V
Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles (deg).

| $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}(61)$ | 2.358(2) | $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}(62)$ | 2.357(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S(61)-C(21) | 1.712(5) | $\mathrm{S}(62)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.711(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | 1.283(6) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | 1.308(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{N}(31)$ | 1.366(7) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{N}(32)$ | 1.343(7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{I})$ | 1.373(8) | $\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $1.376(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(51)$ | 1.517(8) | $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(52)$ | 1.516(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(51)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | 1.469(7) | $\mathrm{C}(52)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | 1.458(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{O}(71)$ | 1.199(7) | $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{O}(72)$ | 1.212(6) |
| C(51)-C(81) | 1.508(8) | $\mathrm{C}(52)-\mathrm{C}(82)$ | 1.527(9) |
| C(51)-C(91) | 1.519(9) | $\mathrm{C}(52)-\mathrm{C}(92)$ | 1.541(9) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 1.424(4) | $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{O}(21)$ | 1.450(6) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 1.400(5) | $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{O}(22)$ | 1.409(7) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 1.391(6) | $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{O}(23)$ | $1.395(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 1.374(7) | $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{O}(24)$ | $1.260(8)$ |
| Hg... OW(2) | 2.695(4) | $\mathrm{Hg} \ldots \mathrm{O}(21)^{\text {ii }}$ | 2.874(6) |
| Hg ... OW (1) ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 2.994(4) | $\mathrm{Hg} \ldots \mathrm{O}(11)^{\mathrm{x}}$ | 2.986 (5) |
| OW(1) ${ }^{\text {iii }} \ldots . \mathrm{H}(11)$ | 1.804(4) | OW(2)... $\mathrm{H}^{(32)}$ | 1.850(4) |
| N(1) . . . OW ${ }^{\text {O }}$ (1ii | $2.746(6)$ | N(32) ... OW(2) | $2.768(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{I}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(23)^{\text {ii }}$ | 3.066(8) | $\mathrm{N}(31) \ldots \mathrm{O}(13)^{\text {i }}$ | $2.925(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(71) \ldots \mathrm{OW}(2)^{1}$ | 2.894(6) | $\mathrm{H}(31) \ldots \mathrm{O}(13)^{\text {i }}$ | $2.102(7)$ |
| O(13) . . . OW $(1)^{\text {isi }}$ | 3.062(8) | $\mathrm{O}(72) \ldots . \mathrm{OW}(1)^{\text {iv }}$ | $2.825(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(72) \ldots \mathrm{OW}(3)^{\text {vi }}$ | $2.936(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}(12) \ldots \mathrm{O}(12)^{v}$ | 2.920(7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12) \ldots \mathrm{OW}(3)^{\text {vi }}$ | 3.060(9) | $\mathrm{H}(12) \ldots \mathrm{O}(12)^{v}$ | 2.029(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(21) \ldots . \mathrm{OW}(1)^{\text {vii }}$ | $3.036(7)$ | $\mathrm{O}(21) \ldots . \mathrm{OW}(3)^{\text {viii }}$ | 2.923(10) |
| OW(2) . . OW( 3$)^{\text {ix }}$ | 2.645(7) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}(61)-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}(62)$ | 171.93(5) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}(61)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 104.5(2) | $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}(62)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 104.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(61)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | 131.6(4) | $\mathrm{S}(62)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | 121.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(6 \mathrm{I})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{l})-\mathrm{N}(31)$ | 118.4(4) | $\mathrm{S}(62)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{N}(32)$ | 127.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | 110.0(5) | $\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | 110.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | 110.6(5) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{C}(42)$ | 110.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(51)$ | 106.5(5) | $\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(52)$ | 107.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(31)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{O}(71)$ | 125.1(6) | $\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{O}(72)$ | 125.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(51)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{O}(71)$ | 128.4(6) | $\mathrm{C}(52)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{O}(72)$ | 127.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(51)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | 100.2(4) | $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(52)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | 100.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(51)-\mathrm{C}(81)$ | 110.9(5) | $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(52)-\mathrm{C}(82)$ | 109.1(6) |
| C(41)-C(51)-C(91) | 110.0(5) | $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(52)-\mathrm{C}(92)$ | 110.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(81)-\mathrm{C}(51)-\mathrm{C}(91)$ | 113.3(5) | $\mathrm{C}(82)-\mathrm{C}(52)-\mathrm{C}(92)$ | 112.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(51)$ | 112.6(4) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{C}(52)$ | 112.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 111.1(4) | $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{O}(22)$ | 111.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 109.5(3) | $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 104.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 112.2(4) | $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{O}(24)$ | 109.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 107.7(5) | $\mathrm{O}(22)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 106.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 107.3(5) | $\mathrm{O}(22)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{O}(24)$ | 109.6(8) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 108.8(7) | $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{O}(24)$ | 115.8(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32) . . . \mathrm{OW}(2)$ | 161.6(3) | $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11) \ldots \mathrm{OW}(1)^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | 171.6(3) |

[^1]TABLE VI
Selected infrared bands $\left(3500-300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ for the liga

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perchlorate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ From Ref. $1 .{ }^{6} \mathrm{HgL}_{\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ obtained in water $/ \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{HgL}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{nH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ prepared in water by slow evaporation exhibit the same i.r. bands. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ The crystal structure for this compound was solved.
unchanged in all the studied complexes. Here again, as verified in all the other studied hydantoin derivatives, ${ }^{3,11}$ an asymmetry is observed for the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ angles, explicable in terms of the different repulsions between the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ bond and the two $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{N}$ bonds. The two five-membered rings are strictly planar and the deviations from the "best plane" of the sulphur and oxygen atoms are: $S(61)$ $0.038(2), \mathrm{O}(71)-0.006(6), \mathrm{S}(62) 0.097(2)$ and $\mathrm{O}(72) 0.038(5) \AA$.

The packing of the $\left[\mathrm{HgL}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ cations, the $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$anions and the water molecules is determined by a pattern of hydrogen bonds and short van der Waals interactions, as given in Table V.

## Infrared Spectra

The importance of infrared spectroscopy in obtaining information on the coordination of $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ to mercury has been widely discussed in previous papers on complexes of mercury(II) halides. ${ }^{1}$ It is convenient to remember that L and $\mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ have such different infrared spectra that it is very easy to ascertain whether the ligand is present in the neutral or the anionic form. It has also been found that N,Scoordination of $L^{\prime}$ to a metal shows a general displacement of i.r. bands towards the corresponding bands of L , thus indicating that the lone pair of $\mathrm{N}-3$ is involved in the coordination bond. Selected infrared bands of the ligands and their complexes are collected in Table VI. I.r. spectroscopy does not give any useful information on the number of the coordinated ligands. As previously found, the shifts of the bands are consistent with S-coordination for all complexes with the neutral ligand, and with N,S-coordination of the anion.

Splitting of the $v_{3}$ and $v_{4}$ bands of the $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ion, verified in almost all the complexes, is indicative of a lowering of symmetry of this group, as is also demonstrated by the crystal data.
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[^0]:    * 30 g of $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ solution were used in each experiment. The molar ratios $\mathrm{HClO}_{4} / \mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ were: 8.8, $17.6,26.5,35.3$, and 44.1 , for solutions of $5,10,15,20$, and $25 \% \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ respectively.

[^1]:    Symmetry codes: i) $-x,-y,-z$; ii) $-x,-y, 1-z$; iii) $1+x, 1 / 2-y, 1 / 2+z$; iv) $1+x, y, z ;$ v) $x, 1 / 2-$ $y, 1 / 2+z$; vi) $x, 1 / 2-y, z-1 / 2$; vii) $-x, y-3 / 2,-1 / 2-z$; viii) $1-x,-y, 1-z$; ix) $x-1,1 / 2-y$, $=-1 / 2 ; x)-x,-y, 1-z$.

